

Partitioning to three matchings of given size is NP-complete for bipartite graphs

Dömötör Pálvölgyi *

Abstract

We show that the problem of deciding whether the edge set of a bipartite graph can be partitioned into three matchings, of size k_1 , k_2 and k_3 is NP-complete, even if one of the matchings is required to be perfect. We also show that the problem of deciding whether the edge set of a simple graph contains a perfect matching and a disjoint matching of size k or not is NP-complete, already for bipartite graphs with maximum degree 3. It also follows from our construction that it is NP-complete to decide whether in a bipartite graph there is a perfect matching and a disjoint matching that covers all vertices whose degree is at least 2.

Folkman and Fulkerson [2] described bipartite graphs whose edge set can be partitioned into l_1 matchings of size k_1 and l_2 matchings of size k_2 . We complement this result by showing that it is NP-complete to decide whether the edge set of a bipartite graph can be partitioned into three matchings, of size k_1 , k_2 and k_3 . This will follow from the NP-completeness of the following “perfect matching + matching” problem.

Input: G bipartite graph with maximum degree 3, natural number k .

Goal: Decide whether G contains an edge-disjoint perfect matching and a matching of size k .

Proof. First we show how the hardness of the partitioning problem follows from the hardness of this problem. Notice that if G contains an edge-disjoint perfect matching P and a matching M of size k , then it also contains another matching M' which is also edge-disjoint from P , has size at least k and is such that $E(G) - P - M'$ is also a matching, as we can start alternating paths from degree two vertices of $E(G) - P - M$. Therefore G contains an edge-disjoint perfect matching and a matching of size k if and only if its edges can be partitioned into three matchings, of size n , k' and $|E(G)| - n - k'$ for some $k' \geq k$. (This

*Institute of Mathematics, Eötvös University, Budapest
Research supported by Hungarian National Science Fund (OTKA), grant PD 104386 and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

was only a Cook reduction, but from our construction below it can be easily made into a Karp reduction.)

Next we show that the “perfect matching + matching” problem is NP-complete. The reduction is from MAX-2-SAT, in which the input is a conjunctive normal form such that every clause contains at most 2 literals (2CNF) and a number s and the question is whether at least s clauses are satisfiable. This problem is well known to be NP-complete [3]. Let us denote the variables of our input Ψ by x_1, \dots, x_n and the clauses by C_1, \dots, C_m . From this we make a bipartite graph G of maximum degree 3 on $N = 4mn + 4m$ vertices that will contain an edge-disjoint perfect matching P and a matching M of size $k = (N - 4m + 2s)/2$ if and only if at least s clauses of the input Ψ were satisfiable.

G consists of several smaller parts, which we now describe. To every variable x_i we associate a cycle of length $4m$, denoted by X_i . The vertices of X_i are denoted (in cyclic order) by $a_1^i, b_1^i, c_1^i, d_1^i, a_2^i, b_2^i, c_2^i, d_2^i, \dots, a_m^i, b_m^i, c_m^i, d_m^i$. To every clause C_j we associate four vertices, $u_j, v_j, u_j^{leaf}, v_j^{leaf}$ and two edges, $u_j u_j^{leaf}$ and $v_j v_j^{leaf}$.

These parts are connected as follows. If x_i is an unnegated variable of C_j , then a_j^i is connected to u_j and b_j^i is connected to v_j , while if x_i is a negated variable of C_j , then c_j^i is connected to u_j and d_j^i is connected to v_j . There are no other edges in the graph.

To see that G is bipartite, we can color all vertices $u_j, v_j^{leaf}, b_j^i, d_j^i$ with one color, and all vertices $v_j, u_j^{leaf}, a_j^i, c_j^i$ with the other.

Notice that G has exactly 2^n perfect matchings, as for each cycle X_i we can choose whether we select its edges $a_j^i b_j^i$ and $c_j^i d_j^i$ or $b_j^i c_j^i$ and $d_j^i a_{j+1}^i$ for all j (with circular indexing). The latter of these will correspond to x_i being true, the former to x_i being false.

Now, suppose that s clauses of Ψ are satisfiable. First we select an assignment of the variables satisfying s clauses and the corresponding perfect matching P , then we will show that a disjoint matching M of size s exists. For every satisfied clause C_j , we select a variable that satisfies it, some $x_{f(j)}$. Then the edges of M will be the symmetric difference of the following three sets. For all j where $x_{f(j)}$ is unnegated in C_j , take the path $u_j a_j^{f(j)} b_j^{f(j)} v_j$. For all j where $x_{f(j)}$ is negated in C_j , take the path $u_j c_j^{f(j)} b_j^{f(j)} v_j$. Finally, take $\bigcup_i X_i \setminus P$. So expressed with a formula

$$M = \left(\bigcup_{x_{f(j)} \in C_j} u_j c_j^{f(j)} b_j^{f(j)} v_j \right) \Delta \left(\bigcup_{\bar{x}_{f(j)} \in C_j} u_j a_j^{f(j)} b_j^{f(j)} v_j \right) \Delta \left(\bigcup_i X_i \setminus P \right).$$

The fact that P corresponds to the truth assignments of the variables guarantees that $a_j^i b_j^i \notin P$ if x_i is true and $b_j^i c_j^i \notin P$ if x_i is false, so we indeed obtained a matching covering all the vertices but the leafs and further the $2m - 2s$ vertices that belong to unsatisfied clauses.

On the other hand, suppose that we have an edge-disjoint perfect matching P and matching M covering $2k$ vertices. M must obviously miss the $2m$ leafs,

as it is disjoint from P . We can also suppose that M covers each vertex of each X_i , as they can be covered by a matching even after the removal of P . Now we claim that the truth assignment that corresponds to P will satisfy at least s clauses of Ψ . Indeed, if u_j is connected to a vertex from X_i , then v_j must be also connected to X_i and x_i must satisfy C_j , or M would not be a matching covering the vertices of X_i .

This finishes the proof. \square

Note that if instead of MAX-2-SAT we use 3-OCC-MAX-2SAT where every variable can appear in at most 3 clauses (total of unnegated and negated occurrences), then the number of vertices is only $12n + 4m$. This problem is also known to be NP-complete, in fact even inapproximable for some small constant, see [1].

A more interesting modification proves the NP-completeness of the following problem.

Input: G bipartite graph with maximum degree 4.

Goal: Decide whether G contains two edge-disjoint matchings, P and M , such that P is perfect and M covers every vertex whose degree is at least 2.

If in our construction instead of MAX-2-SAT we use 3-SAT, then such perfect matching P and matching M , covering all but the u_j^{leaf}, v_j^{leaf} vertices, exist if and only if the original formula is satisfiable, which proves the NP-completeness. As in this reduction the maximum degree grows to 4, we leave the maximum degree 3 case open.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank András Frank and Attila Bernáth for calling my attention to the problems, them and Csaba and Zoltán Király for several useful comments, and Marzio De Biasi for pointing me to [1].

I thank also the financial support of Hungarian National Science Fund (OTKA), grant PD 104386 and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

References

- [1] P. Berman and M. Karpinski, ICALP, LNCS vol. 1644, pp. 200–209 (1999).
- [2] J.H. Folkman and D.R. Fulkerson, Edge colorings in bipartite graphs. RAND Memorandum RM-5061-PR, RAND Corporation, 1966.
- [3] M.R. Garey, D.S. Johnson, L.J. Stockmeyer, Some Simplified NP-Complete Graph Problems, *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **1(3)** pp. 237–267 (1976).